

Radiative rates for E1, E2, M1, and M2 transitions in Br-like ions with $43 \leq Z \leq 50$

Kanti M. Aggarwal^{a,*}, Francis P. Keenan^a

^a*Astrophysics Research Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast,
Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland, UK*

Abstract

Energies and lifetimes are reported for the eight Br-like ions with $43 \leq Z \leq 50$, namely Tc IX, Ru X, Rh XI, Pd XII, Ag XIII, Cd XIV, In XV, and Sn XVI. Results are listed for the lowest 375 levels, which mostly belong to the $4s^2 4p^5$, $4s^2 4p^4 4\ell$, $4s 4p^6$, $4s^2 4p^4 5\ell$, $4s^2 4p^3 4d^2$, $4s 4p^5 4\ell$, and $4s 4p^5 5\ell$ configurations. Extensive configuration interaction among 39 configurations (generating 3990 levels) has been considered and the general-purpose relativistic atomic structure package (GRASP) has been adopted for the calculations. Radiative rates are listed for all E1, E2, M1, and M2 transitions involving the lowest 375 levels. Previous experimental and theoretical energies are available for only a few levels of three, namely Ru X, Rh XI and Pd XII. Differences with the measured energies are up to 4% but the present results are an improvement (by up to 0.3 Ryd) in comparison to other recently reported theoretical data. Similarly for radiative rates and lifetimes, prior results are limited to those involving only 31 levels of the $4s^2 4p^5$, $4s^2 4p^4 4d$, and $4s 4p^6$ configurations for the last four ions. Moreover, there are generally no discrepancies with our results, although the larger calculations reported here differ by up to two orders of magnitude for a few transitions.

Keywords: Br-like ions, energy levels, radiative rates, oscillator strengths, line strengths, lifetimes

*Corresponding author.

Email address: K.Aggarwal@qub.ac.uk (Kanti M. Aggarwal)

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Energy levels	3
3. Radiative rates	5
4. Lifetimes	6
5. Conclusions	6
Appendix A. Supplementary data	7
References	7
Explanation of Tables	13
Tables	
1. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Tc IX and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables	17
2. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Ru X and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables	17
3. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Rh XI and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables	18
4. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Pd XII and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables	18
5. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Ag XIII and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables	19
6. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Cd XIV and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables	19
7. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of In XV and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 14 for Explanation of Tables	20
8. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Sn XVI and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 14 for Explanation of Tables	20

1. Introduction

In a recent paper [1] we reported energy levels, lifetimes and radiative decay rates (A-values) for five Br-like ions with $38 \leq Z \leq 42$, i.e. Sr IV, Y V, Zr VI, Nb VII, and Mo VIII. Similar results for another important Br-like ion of tungsten (W XL) have also been published [2]. Here we extend the range of ions to those with $43 \leq Z \leq 50$, i.e. Tc IX, Ru X, Rh XI, Pd XII, Ag XIII, Cd XIV, In XV, and Sn XVI. Ions of some of these elements, particularly Ag, Cd, In, and Sn, are important for the studies of laser-produced and fusion plasmas [3, 4].

Laboratory measurements for energy levels for these Br-like ions are limited to only a few levels – see section 2. Unfortunately, the theoretical situation is no better, although A-values [5] for the magnetic dipole (M1) and electric quadrupole (E2) transitions between the ground state levels ($4s^2 4p^5 \ ^2P_{3/2}^o - ^2P_{1/2}^o$) of several Br-like ions, including

those considered here, are available. These limited results are insufficient for modelling and diagnostics of plasmas, particularly for fusion. The need for a large amount of atomic data and for a wide range of ions is particularly urgent with the developing ITER project. Therefore, in a recent paper Goyal et al. [6] have calculated energies and lifetimes for the lowest 31 levels of the $4s^2 4p^5$, $4s^2 4p^4 4d$ and $4s 4p^6$ configurations of the four Br-like ions Ag XIII, Cd XIV, In XV, and Sn XVI. For the calculations, they adopted the well known and widely used GRASP code [7], but included only limited CI (configuration interaction). Similarly, they also listed A-values for electric dipole (E1) transitions, but only from the ground state $4s^2 4p^5 \ ^2P_{3/2,1/2}^o$ to higher-lying levels. Therefore, there is scope to extend significantly their calculations.

2. Energy levels

As in our earlier work [1, 2], we have adopted the fully relativistic multi-configuration Dirac-Fock (MCDF) atomic structure code developed by Grant et al. [7]. Since the code is based on the jj coupling scheme and includes higher-order relativistic corrections arising from the Breit interaction and QED (quantum electrodynamics) effects, it is suitable for the heavy ions considered here. We note that this initial version of the MCDF code has undergone many revisions and is now known by the name GRASP [8]. Again, as in all our work we employ the GRASP0 version (available at <http://web.am.qub.ac.uk/DARC/>), which has been revised by one of its authors (Dr. P. H. Norrington). As expected, the results obtained are comparable with those from other versions, such as GRASP2K [9, 10].

Extensive configuration interaction (CI) has been incorporated in our calculations of energy levels and A-values. These calculations include the same 39 configurations as in [1] and are: $4s^2 4p^5$, $4s^2 4p^4 4d/4f$, $4s 4p^6$, $4p^6 4d/4f$, $4s 4p^5 4d/4f$, $4s^2 4p^3 4f^2/4d^2/4d 4f$, $4s^2 4p^2 4d^3$, $4s^2 4p 4d^4$, $4s^2 4p^2 4d^2 4f$, $4s 4p^3 4d^3$, $4p^5 4d^2$, $3d^9 4s^2 4p^5 4d/4f$, $3d^9 4s^2 4p^6$, $4s 4p^5 5\ell$, $4p^6 5\ell$, $4s^2 4p^4 5\ell$, and $3d^9 4s^2 4p^5 5\ell$. As in our work for other Br-like ions [1], these configurations have been carefully chosen on the basis of their interacting energy ranges. In total 3990 levels are generated, but for brevity we only consider the lowest 375 levels for each ion. Furthermore, we have adopted the option of ‘extended average level’ (EAL), in which a weighted (proportional to $2j+1$) trace of the Hamiltonian matrix is minimised. The code has several other choices for optimisation, such as average level (AL) and extended optimal level (EOL), but EAL has been preferred. This is because the results obtained with the AL option are comparable with those of EAL as already discussed and demonstrated by us for several other ions, such as of Kr [11] and Xe [12]. Similarly, the EOL option may provide a slightly more accurate data for a few defined levels, and is useful if the experimental energies are known, which is not the case for the presently studied ions.

In Tables 1–8 we list our energetically lowest 375 levels for the eight Br-like ions with $43 \leq Z \leq 50$. Before we discuss results, we note that the LSJ labels assigned to the levels in Tables 1–8 are only for guidance, because their identification is not always unique, as levels from different configurations mix strongly and for a few the eigenvector of the same level/configuration dominates for two (or more) levels. This problem is frequently encountered in all atomic structure calculations, particularly when the CI is important, as for all Br-like ions [1, 2]. Fortunately, the ambiguity in the configuration/level (LSJ) designation is only for a few levels in each ion. For illustration, in Table A we list the mixing coefficients for the lowest 50 levels of Sn XVI. It is clear that levels such as 5/16, 7/13, 10/25, 20/23, and 44/47 are highly mixed, and several eigenvectors have comparable magnitude. Nevertheless, the associated J^π values

provided in Tables 1–8 are definitive for all levels and ions, but the *LSJ* designations may (inter)change depending on the calculations (with differing amount of CI) and/or the codes employed and indeed author preferences.

In Table B we compare energies for the levels of Ru X which are common between our calculations and the measurements of Even-Zohar and Fraenkel [13]. Although there are only 9 levels, the differences are up to $\sim 4\%$. For the $4s^2 4p^5 \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$ level (2) our energy is lower but is higher for the rest. It is interesting to note that the Breit and QED contributions for level 2 are significant, i.e. -0.00482 and 0.00025 Ryd, respectively, but for others are not. As a result, our Coulomb energy for level 2 is 0.30050 Ryd, much closer to the measurement. Even-Zohar and Fraenkel [13] have also measured energies for a few levels of Rh XI and Pd XII, and these are compared with our results in Tables C and D, respectively. The discrepancies for these levels are similar to those found for Ru X. We also note that the effect of Breit and QED corrections is most dominant on the ground level energy. As an example, the Breit and QED contributions on the ground level energy of Sn XVI are 8.74 and 5.50 Ryd, respectively. However, among higher excited levels their additive contributions are only up to -0.08 Ryd.

Also included in Tables B, C and D are our results obtained with the *Flexible Atomic Code* (FAC) of Gu [14], which is fully relativistic and is available from the website <http://sprg.ssl.berkeley.edu/~mfgu/fac/>. As for other Br-like ions [1], we have performed a series of calculations with this code, and our largest calculation includes 12 137 levels, the additional ones arising from the $4p^6 6\ell$, $4s 4p^5 6\ell$, $4s^2 4p^4 6\ell$, $4p^6 7\ell$, $4s 4p^5 7\ell$, $4s^2 4p^4 7\ell$, $4s^2 4p^3 5\ell^2$, $4s 4p^4 5\ell^2$, $3p^5 3d^{10} 4s^2 4p^6$, $3p^5 3d^{10} 4s^2 4p^5 4d$, and $3p^5 3d^{10} 4s^2 4p^5 4f$ configurations. The main aim of this exercise is to assess the impact of additional CI on the determination of energy levels (and the *A*- values, see section 3). However, there are no appreciable discrepancies between these results and those with GRASP, although we do observe some variations in level orderings. This is to be expected because both codes are fully relativistic and a similarity of results has already been noted for a range of ions, including Br-like [1]. Therefore, our conclusion remains the same as earlier [1, 2] that this large expansion of up to 12 137 levels is not helpful in improving the energy levels, although extremely large calculations involving over a million levels (or configuration state functions, CSF) may improve the accuracy as shown by Froese Fischer [15] and Bogdanovich et al. [16] for W XL. Unfortunately, our computational resources do not allow us to perform such large calculations. Additionally, for some Br-like ions, such as Sr IV and W XL, measurements are available for many more levels and therefore it becomes comparatively easier to assess (and/or to improve) the accuracy of calculations, but not for the ions considered here.

Finally, we note that there is no discrepancy for any level and ion with the recent results of Goyal et al. [6], who have performed similar calculations with the same version of the GRASP code, but with CI among only eight configurations, namely $4s^2 4p^5$, $4s^2 4p^4 4d/4f$, $4s 4p^6$, $4s 4p^5 4d/4f$, and $4s^2 4p^3 4d^2/4f^2$. This is in total contrast with their earlier calculations [17] for five other Br-like ions with $38 \leq Z \leq 42$, which cannot be reproduced as already discussed by us [18]. However, the above eight configurations generate 470 levels in total but [6] reported energies for only 31 levels of the $4s^2 4p^5$, $4s^2 4p^4 4d$ and $4s 4p^6$ configurations. This limited expansion of the wave functions reduces the accuracy of the calculated data, as demonstrated by us [18]. Among the present ions of interest we compare our results with their calculations for Sn XVI alone, in Table E. Due to the limited CI included by Goyal et al. [6], their calculated energies are higher by up to ~ 0.3 Ryd, almost the same amount as previously noted for other Br-like ions [18].

3. Radiative rates

The absorption oscillator strength (f_{ij} , dimensionless) for all types of transition ($i \rightarrow j$) and the radiative decay rate A_{ji} (in s^{-1}) are connected by the following expression:

$$f_{ij} = \frac{mc}{8\pi^2 e^2} \lambda_{ji}^2 \frac{\omega_j}{\omega_i} A_{ji} = 1.49 \times 10^{-16} \lambda_{ji}^2 \frac{\omega_j}{\omega_i} A_{ji} \quad (1)$$

where m and e are the electron mass and charge, respectively, c the velocity of light, λ_{ji} the transition wavelength in Å, and ω_i and ω_j the statistical weights of the lower i and upper j levels, respectively. Similarly, the oscillator strength f_{ij} , A-values and the line strength S (in atomic units, $1 \text{ a.u.} = 6.460 \times 10^{-36} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ esu}^2$) are related by the standard equations given in [2].

In Tables 9–16 we present results for transitions in the eight Br-like ions with $43 \leq Z \leq 50$, from the lowest three to higher excited levels, with the full tables available online in the electronic version. Included in these tables are the transition (energies) wavelengths (λ_{ij} in Å), radiative rates (A_{ji} in s^{-1}), oscillator strengths (f_{ij} , dimensionless), and line strengths (S in a.u.) for $\sim 18\,000$ E1 transitions among the lowest 375 levels. The listed wavelengths (and other parameters) are based on the Breit and QED-corrected theoretical energies, given in Tables 1–8, where the *indices* used to represent the lower and upper levels of a transition are also defined. Corresponding A-values for $\sim 27\,000$ E2, $\sim 19\,000$ M1, and $\sim 25\,000$ M2 transitions are also included in these tables, as well as the ratio of their velocity (Coulomb gauge) and length (Babushkin gauge) forms, but only for E1 transitions. We also note that (if required) the corresponding results for f- or S-values can be obtained using Eqs. (1-5) given in [2], and the number of transitions are not the same for all ions, because their level orderings are not common.

The only data available for comparison purposes are those of Goyal et al. [6], where there are no discrepancies for calculations performed with the *same* CI. However, significant differences are noted with the present calculations with larger CI, i.e. among 3990 levels described in section 2. For illustration, we compare the two sets of f-values in Table F for transitions in Sn XVI. While there are differences of 50% for several transitions, for a few the discrepancies are larger – see for example, 1–27, 1–30 and 2–13. The latter two are comparatively weak transitions, but 1–27 is not and the f-values differ by almost a factor of three. Interestingly the ratio (R) of the velocity and length forms in both calculations is 0.88, and hence does not provide any clue to the accuracy estimate. However, this is not surprising as similar examples have been noted many times in the past. On the other hand, inclusion of larger CI in a calculation generally (but not necessarily) improves the accuracy of the calculated radiative data, and a clear example of this has already been discussed for the energy levels in section 2. For f-values we include in Table F our results obtained from the FAC code with 3990 level calculations (FACa), as with GRASP, as well as a larger one with 12 137 levels (FACb). The two sets of f-values from GRASP and FACa agree within $\sim 20\%$ for most transitions, although differences for a few weaker ones (such as 2–13/26) are up to 30%. Similarly for some isolated (but weak) transitions (such as 1–30), differences are up to a factor of two. Such differences in f-values are common among calculations with different codes, because of the methodologies of solving the equations and/or the choice(s) of the local central potential. Nevertheless, it is heartening to note that the effect of additional CI included in FACb is of little consequence as far as the transitions of Table F are concerned. The comparison shown in Table F for a few transitions of Sn XVI confirms, once again, that the CI included in out GRASP calculations is sufficient to produce accurate results for radiative rates.

D’Arcy et al. [19] have reported f -values for a few transitions of Sn XVI, but have provided little information about the calculations. In Table G we compare our results with theirs. Differences for most transitions are less than 50%, but are larger for two, namely 17–234 ($4s^2 4p^4 4d \ ^4F_{7/2} - 4s^2 4p^4 5p \ ^4P_{5/2}$) and 24–247 ($4s^2 4p^4 4d \ ^2F_{7/2} - 4s^2 4p^4 5p \ ^4D_{5/2}$). Since this comparison is limited to only 13 transitions, all of which are generally weak, it is difficult to place an accuracy estimate on either of the two calculations. We discuss these further below.

A general criterion to assess the accuracy of radiative rates is to compare the velocity and length forms of A- (f -) values, i.e. R should be close to unity. However, often this is not the case even for strong dipole allowed transitions, as already noted above for 1–27. Nevertheless, we provide some statistics for the E1 transitions of Sn XVI, listed in Table 16. There are 1436 out of 17 272 transitions for which $f(E1) \geq 0.01$, and about a third differ by over 20%. However, only 165 have $R \geq 50\%$ but for 7 the ratio is up to two orders of magnitude (26–82 $f=0.03$, 28–41 $f = 0.01$, 29–42 $f = 0.01$, 29–82 $f = 0.04$, 30–60 $f = 0.04$, 31–79 $f = 0.03$, and 139–259 $f = 0.01$), i.e. none of these is too strong. For weaker transitions the ratio R is up to several orders of magnitude for a few, but similar to those noted for other Br-like ions [1, 2]. In conclusion, we may state that for a majority of strong transitions the A-values are accurate to $\sim 20\%$, but for some the accuracy is lower. A better assessment of the accuracy of our reported data may perhaps be performed with other calculations, which may be available in future. Finally, there are no discrepancies with the Biémont et al. [5] A-values for the M1 and E2 ($4s^2 4p^5$) $^2P_{3/2}^o - ^2P_{1/2}^o$ transitions.

4. Lifetimes

The lifetime τ of a level j is determined as $1.0/\Sigma_i A_{ji}$. Since this is a measurable quantity it helps in assessing the accuracy of A-values, particularly when a single (type of) transition dominates. Unfortunately, no measurements of τ are available for the levels of Br-like ions, but in Tables 1–8 we list our calculated results for the lowest 375. The calculations of τ include A-values from all types of transitions, i.e. E1, E2, M1, and M2.

5. Conclusions

Energy levels and radiative rates (for E1, E2, M1, and M2 transitions) are reported for the lowest 375 levels of eight Br-like ions with $43 \leq Z \leq 50$, for which the GRASP code has been adopted. Lifetimes for these levels are also listed although no other comparable theoretical data or measurements are currently available in the literature. Based on comparisons with the limited measurements our energy levels are assessed to be accurate to better than 4%, for all ions. However, scope remains for improvement. A similar assessment of accuracy for the corresponding A-values is not feasible, mainly because of the paucity of other comparable results. However, for strong transitions (with large f -values), the accuracy for A-values and lifetimes may be $\sim 20\%$.

Acknowledgments

KMA is thankful to AWE Aldermaston for financial support.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Owing to space limitations, only parts of Tables 9–16 are presented here, the full tables being made available as supplemental material in conjunction with the electronic publication of this work. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:nn.nnnn/j.adt.2015.nn.nnn.

References

- [1] K.M. Aggarwal, F.P. Keenan, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* 105 (2015) in press.
- [2] K.M. Aggarwal, F.P. Keenan, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* 100 (2014) 1399.
- [3] L.J. Curtis, J. Reader, S. Goldsmith, B. Denne, E. Hinnov, *Phys. Rev. A* 29 (1984) 2248.
- [4] B. Denne, E. Hinnov, S. Cohen, J. Timberlake, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* 2 (1985) 1661.
- [5] E. Biémont, R.D. Cowan, J.E. Hansen, *Phys. Scr.* 37 (1988) 850.
- [6] A. Goyal, I. Khatri, S. Aggarwal, A.K. Singh, M. Mohan, *Can J. Phys.* 93 (2015) 487.
- [7] I.P. Grant, B.J. McKenzie, P.H. Norrington, D.F. Mayers, N.C. Pyper, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 21 (1980) 207.
- [8] K.G. Dyall, I.P. Grant, C.T. Johnson, F.A. Parpia, E.P. Plummer, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 55 (1989) 425.
- [9] P. Jönsson, X. He, C.F. Fischer, I.P. Grant, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 177 (2007) 597.
- [10] P. Jönsson, G. Gaigalas, J. Bieroń, C.F. Fischer, I.P. Grant, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 184 (2013) 2197.
- [11] K.M. Aggarwal, F.P. Keenan, K.D. Lawson, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* 94 (2008) 323.
- [12] K.M. Aggarwal, F.P. Keenan, K.D. Lawson, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* 96 (2010) 123.
- [13] M. Even-Zohar, B.S. Fraenkel, *J. Phys. B* 5 (1972) 1596.
- [14] M.F. Gu, *Can. J. Phys.* 86 (2008) 675.
- [15] C. Froese Fischer, *Atoms* 2 (2014) 1.
- [16] P. Bogdanovich, R. Karpušienė, R. Kisieliū, *Phys. Scr.* 90 (2015) 035401.
- [17] A.K. Singh, S. Aggarwal, M. Mohan, *Phys. Scr.* 88 (2013) 035301.
- [18] K.M. Aggarwal, F.P. Keenan, *Phys. Scr.* 89 (2014) 125404.
- [19] R. D’Arcy, H. Ohashi, S. Suda, H. Tanuma, S. Fujioka, H. Nishimura, K. Nishihara, C. Suzuki, T. Kato, F. Koike, A. O’Connor, G. O’Sullivan, *J. Phys. B* 42 (2009) 165207.

Table A

Mixing coefficients (MC) for the lowest 50 levels of Sn XVI. Numbers outside and inside a bracket correspond to MC and the level, respectively. See Table 1 for definition of all levels.

Index	Configuration	Level	Mixing coefficients
1	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{3/2}^o$	0.99(1)
2	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{1/2}^o$	0.99(2)
3	$4s 4p^6$	$2S_{1/2}$	0.87(3)–0.48(26)
4	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{5/2}$	–0.27(12)+0.84(4)–0.24(15)–0.27(23)
5	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{3/2}$	–0.77(5)+0.34(19)–0.23(31)+0.33(16)–0.20(25)
6	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{7/2}$	–0.46(17)+0.82(6)+0.28(24)
7	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{1/2}$	0.66(7)–0.39(11)–0.41(30)+0.48(13)
8	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{9/2}$	0.88(8)+0.45(21)
9	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2F_{7/2}$	0.45(17)+0.26(6)+0.64(9)+0.53(18)
10	$4s^2 4p^4(^1S)4d$	$2D_{3/2}$	0.48(14)+0.44(19)+0.31(31)–0.26(25)+0.58(10)
11	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4P_{1/2}$	0.81(11)–0.43(30)+0.32(13)
12	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{5/2}$	0.75(12)+0.25(4)+0.26(15)+0.51(27)
13	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2P_{1/2}$	–0.74(7)–0.36(11)–0.37(30)+0.41(13)
14	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{3/2}$	0.66(14)–0.54(19)–0.26(31)+0.35(16)
15	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4P_{5/2}$	–0.39(12)+0.63(15)–0.46(22)+0.26(29)+0.25(20)+0.29(27)
16	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2D_{3/2}$	0.55(5)+0.22(19)–0.30(31)+0.39(28)+0.47(16)–0.37(25)
17	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{7/2}$	0.71(17)+0.44(6)–0.35(9)–0.33(18)–0.22(24)
18	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2G_{7/2}$	0.49(9)–0.73(18)+0.40(24)
19	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4P_{3/2}$	–0.23(14)–0.23(5)–0.55(19)+0.48(28)–0.24(16)–0.50(25)
20	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2F_{5/2}$	–0.28(12)+0.32(4)–0.41(29)–0.57(20)+0.53(23)
21	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2G_{9/2}$	0.45(8)–0.88(21)
22	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2F_{5/2}$	0.21(4)+0.49(15)+0.69(22)+0.39(20)
23	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2D_{5/2}$	0.32(15)+0.32(22)+0.21(29)–0.60(20)–0.59(23)
24	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2F_{7/2}$	–0.21(17)+0.46(9)–0.21(18)–0.82(24)
25	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2P_{3/2}$	–0.44(14)+0.35(16)+0.47(25)+0.59(10)
26	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2S_{1/2}$	0.40(3)–0.20(11)–0.31(30)–0.38(13)+0.73(26)
27	$4s^2 4p^4(^1S)4d$	$2D_{5/2}$	–0.27(12)–0.23(4)+0.26(22)–0.46(29)+0.70(27)
28	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2P_{3/2}$	–0.28(31)+0.65(28)–0.46(16)+0.45(25)
29	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2D_{5/2}$	–0.25(15)+0.33(22)+0.67(29)+0.45(23)+0.32(27)
30	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2P_{1/2}$	–0.22(3)–0.63(30)–0.58(13)–0.45(26)
31	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2D_{3/2}$	–0.73(31)–0.28(28)–0.33(16)+0.43(10)
32	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4P_{1/2}^o$	–0.92(32)+0.27(174)
33	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4P_{3/2}^o$	–0.28(43)+0.87(33)–0.27(167)
34	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4P_{5/2}^o$	–0.24(37)+0.45(44)–0.76(34)+0.25(182)
35	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4F_{9/2}^o$	0.93(35)+0.24(153)
36	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4F_{7/2}^o$	0.83(36)–0.31(40)+0.26(42)+0.22(141)
37	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4F_{5/2}^o$	–0.73(37)+0.21(44)+0.35(34)–0.20(47)+0.26(41)–0.20(97)
38	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4F_{3/2}^o$	0.72(38)–0.41(43)–0.26(45)+0.23(48)+0.21(94)
39	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4D_{1/2}^o$	0.77(39)+0.39(46)+0.25(180)
40	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4D_{7/2}^o$	0.71(40)+0.56(42)
41	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$2D_{5/2}^o$	–0.32(37)–0.41(44)–0.60(41)+0.36(49)
42	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$2F_{7/2}^o$	–0.32(36)–0.42(40)+0.59(42)+0.38(56)+0.28(230)–0.22(197)
43	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4D_{3/2}^o$	0.30(38)+0.63(43)–0.35(45)+0.36(60)
44	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$4D_{5/2}^o$	–0.47(44)–0.25(34)–0.52(47)+0.44(41)
45	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$2D_{3/2}^o$	–0.44(38)–0.30(43)–0.64(45)+0.24(82)+0.21(60)
46	$4s 4p^5(^1P)4d$	$2P_{1/2}^o$	0.40(39)–0.55(79)–0.53(46)–0.32(110)
47	$4s 4p^5(^3P)4d$	$2F_{5/2}^o$	–0.33(37)–0.42(44)–0.21(34)+0.54(47)+0.23(41)–0.30(49)
48	$4s 4p^5(^1P)4d$	$2D_{3/2}^o$	0.20(43)–0.26(45)–0.39(82)+0.53(48)–0.37(60)+0.23(193)–0.24(0)
49	$4s 4p^5(^1P)4d$	$2F_{5/2}^o$	0.21(47)+0.27(41)+0.42(49)–0.51(51)–0.21(0)+0.22(0)+0.21(191)
50	$4s^2 4p^3(^4S)4d^2(^3F)$	$6F_{3/2}^o$	0.77(50)–0.21(94)–0.27(93)+0.23(104)

Table B

Comparison of energies (Ryd) for some levels of Ru X.

Index ^a	Configuration	Level	EXP.	GRASP	FAC	%ΔE (EXP.– GRASP)
1	4s ² 4p ⁵	² P _{3/2} ^o	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.0
2	4s ² 4p ⁵	² P _{1/2} ^o	0.30122	0.29593	0.29791	1.8
48	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	⁴ P _{5/2}	6.35869	6.57994	6.58739	−3.5
51	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	⁴ P _{3/2}	6.42122	6.66128	6.66294	−3.7
57	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	⁴ P _{1/2}	6.55883	6.77825	6.78696	−3.3
61	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	² P _{3/2}	6.64029	6.86595	6.87057	−3.4
66	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	² P _{1/2}	6.69557	6.93747	6.93680	−3.6
73	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	² D _{5/2}	6.79679	7.05426	7.05550	−3.8
74	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	² D _{3/2}	6.80872	7.06859	7.06873	−3.8
110	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	² S _{1/2}		7.44119	7.45107	

a: see Table 2

EXP: Even-Zohar and Fraenkel [13]

GRASP: present calculations from the GRASP code with 3990 levels

FAC: present calculations from the FAC code with 12 137 levels

Table C

Comparison of energies (Ryd) for some levels of Rh XI.

Index ^a	Configuration	Level	EXP.	GRASP	FAC	%ΔE (EXP.– GRASP)
1	4s ² 4p ⁵	² P _{3/2} ^o	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.0
2	4s ² 4p ⁵	² P _{1/2} ^o	0.35357	0.34817	0.35017	1.5
61	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	⁴ P _{5/2}	7.22918	7.46403	7.47319	−3.2
64	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	⁴ P _{3/2}	7.29592	7.55072	7.55375	−3.5
73	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	⁴ P _{1/2}	7.45072	7.68338	7.69325	−3.1
83	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	² P _{3/2}	7.56012	7.79844	7.80497	−3.2
90	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	² P _{1/2}	7.61710	7.87280	7.87376	−3.4
102	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	² D _{5/2}	7.72261	7.99444	7.99775	−3.5
107	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	² D _{3/2}		8.01131	8.01333	
142	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	² S _{1/2}		8.43279	8.44359	

a: see Table 3

EXP: Even-Zohar and Fraenkel [13]

GRASP: present calculations from the GRASP code with 3990 levels

FAC: present calculations from the FAC code with 12 137 levels

Table D

Comparison of energies (Ryd) for some levels of Pd XII.

Index ^a	Configuration	Level	EXP.	GRASP	FAC	%ΔE (EXP.– GRASP)
1	4s ² 4p ⁵	2P ^o _{3/2}	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.0
2	4s ² 4p ⁵	2P ^o _{1/2}	0.41171	0.40632	0.40838	1.3
79	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	4P _{5/2}	8.14287	8.39061	8.40099	−3.0
88	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	4P _{3/2}	8.21365	8.48226	8.48226	−3.3
102	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	4P _{1/2}	8.38480	8.63040	8.64123	−2.9
109	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	2P _{3/2}	8.52899	8.77940	8.78735	−2.9
118	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	2P _{1/2}	8.58824	8.85661	8.85878	−3.1
130	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	2D _{5/2}	8.69781	8.98289	8.98777	−3.3
133	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	2D _{3/2}	8.71493	9.00237	9.00578	−3.3
176	4s ² 4p ⁴ 5s	2S _{1/2}		9.47897	9.49039	

^a: see Table 4

EXP: Even-Zohar and Fraenkel [13]

GRASP: present calculations from the GRASP code with 3990 levels

FAC: present calculations from the FAC code with 12 137 levels

Table E

Comparison of energies (Ryd) for the lowest 31 levels of Sn XVI.

Index	Configuration	Level	GRASPa	GRASPB
1	4s ² 4p ⁵	2P ^o _{3/2}	0.0000	0.0000
2	4s ² 4p ⁵	2P ^o _{1/2}	0.7069	0.7070
3	4s4p ⁶	2S _{1/2}	4.0812	4.1143
4	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4D _{5/2}	5.0336	5.1603
5	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4D _{3/2}	5.0384	5.1688
6	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4D _{7/2}	5.0938	5.2190
7	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4D _{1/2}	5.1201	5.2515
8	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4F _{9/2}	5.2627	5.3963
9	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	2F _{7/2}	5.3202	5.4590
10	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ D)4d	2D _{3/2}	5.4252	5.6044
11	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4P _{1/2}	5.5627	5.7041
12	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ D)4d	2P _{1/2}	5.6215	5.7555
13	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4F _{5/2}	5.6217	5.7883
14	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4F _{3/2}	5.6783	5.8315
15	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4P _{5/2}	5.7265	5.8864
16	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ S)4d	2D _{3/2}	5.7831	5.9226
17	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4F _{7/2}	5.8754	6.0127
18	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ D)4d	2G _{7/2}	6.0064	6.1547
19	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	4P _{3/2}	6.0192	6.1634
20	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ D)4d	2F _{5/2}	6.0241	6.1748
21	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ D)4d	2G _{9/2}	6.0924	6.2367
22	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	2F _{5/2}	6.1061	6.2549
23	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ D)4d	2D _{5/2}	6.2891	6.4408
24	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ D)4d	2F _{7/2}	6.4329	6.5871
25	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ D)4d	2P _{3/2}	6.5953	6.8458
26	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ D)4d	2S _{1/2}	6.9309	7.1669
27	4s ² 4p ⁴ (¹ S)4d	2D _{5/2}	6.9620	7.2438
28	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	2P _{3/2}	6.9770	7.2920
29	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	2D _{5/2}	7.1112	7.3800
30	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	2P _{1/2}	7.2350	7.5635
31	4s ² 4p ⁴ (³ P)4d	2D _{3/2}	7.6625	8.0040

GRASPa: present calculations from the GRASP code with 3990 levels

GRASPB: earlier calculations of Goyal et al. [6] from the GRASP code with 470 levels

Table F

Comparison of oscillator strengths (f) for transitions among the lowest 31 levels of Sn XVI. $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$.
 See Table 8 for definition of level indices.

I	J	GRASPa	GRASPB	FACa	FACb
1	3	3.604-2	4.27-2	3.802-2	3.839-2
1	4	6.676-4	6.51-4	6.841-4	6.728-4
1	5	1.252-3	9.93-4	1.307-3	1.299-3
1	7	1.809-3	1.51-3	1.926-3	1.916-3
1	10	4.618-3	5.45-3	4.643-3	4.624-3
1	11	2.758-2	2.56-2	2.925-2	2.932-2
1	12	3.143-2	2.07-2	3.153-2	3.182-2
1	13	2.219-3	3.07-2	2.043-3	2.021-3
1	14	5.883-2	4.19-2	6.195-2	6.192-2
1	15	3.656-2	2.20-2	4.079-2	4.054-2
1	16	1.061-3	1.06-3	8.839-4	9.409-4
1	19	1.479-3	1.08-3	1.552-3	1.529-3
1	20	3.667-2	2.43-2	3.732-2	3.779-2
1	22	2.539-3	3.42-3	2.336-3	2.277-3
1	23	8.733-3	9.53-3	8.713-3	8.724-3
1	25	2.012-1	1.18-1	2.055-1	2.068-1
1	26	6.639-1	6.58-1	6.645-1	6.672-1
1	27	9.886-1	3.65-1	1.073-0	1.086-0
1	28	9.646-1	1.10-0	9.694-1	9.724-1
1	29	1.106-0	1.83-0	1.035-0	1.029-0
1	30	7.005-4	2.53-2	1.609-3	1.512-3
1	31	1.566-2	1.37-2	1.511-2	1.494-2
2	3	3.818-2	4.26-2	4.004-2	4.045-2
2	5	2.547-4	1.68-4	2.476-4	2.542-4
2	7	3.688-4	2.57-4	3.819-4	3.742-4
2	10	1.959-3	1.49-3	2.053-3	2.026-3
2	11	5.698-3	6.09-3	5.938-3	5.933-3
2	13	3.393-5	1.03-5	4.438-5	4.347-5
2	14	2.711-3	2.50-3	2.731-3	2.758-3
2	16	5.045-3	4.31-3	4.799-3	4.857-3
2	19	1.137-3	7.73-4	1.135-3	1.168-3
2	25	1.286-1	1.34-1	1.342-1	1.342-1
2	26	1.105-2	8.07-2	1.428-2	1.388-2
2	28	7.298-3	4.66-3	8.626-3	8.821-3
2	30	1.206-0	1.17-0	1.212-0	1.216-0
2	31	2.672-0	2.77-0	2.690-0	2.697-0

GRASPa: present calculations with the GRASP code with 3990 levels

GRASPB: calculations of Goyal et al. [6] with the GRASP code with 470 levels

FACa: present calculations with the FAC code with 3990 levels

FACb: present calculations with the FAC code with 12 137 levels

Table G

Comparison of oscillator strengths (f) for the $4s^24p^44d-4s^24p^45p$ transitions of Sn XVI. See Table 8 for definition of all level indices. $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$.

S. No.	Level of $4s^24p^44d$	Index	Level of $4s^24p^45p$	Index	Present	D'Arcy et al. [19]
1	$^4D_{7/2}$	6	$^4D_{7/2}^o$	236	0.040	0.050
2	$^4F_{7/2}$	17	$^4D_{5/2}^o$	247	0.104	0.131
3	$^2G_{9/2}$	21	$^2F_{7/2}^o$	251	0.122	0.145
4	$^4F_{9/2}$	8	$^4D_{7/2}^o$	236	0.120	0.144
5	$^4F_{5/2}$	12	$^4D_{3/2}^o$	242	0.094	0.130
6	$^4F_{7/2}$	17	$^4P_{5/2}^o$	234	1.55-3	0.081
7	$(^3P)^2F_{5/2}$	22	$(^3P)^2D_{3/2}^o$	249	0.075	0.067
8	$^2G_{7/2}$	18	$^2F_{5/2}^o$	246	0.109	0.115
9	$(^1D)^2F_{7/2}$	24	$(^1D)^2D_{5/2}^o$	255	0.093	0.106
10	$^4F_{3/2}$	14	$(^3P)^2P_{3/2}^o$	241	0.045	0.050
11	$^4P_{5/2}$	15	$(^3P)^2P_{3/2}^o$	241	0.046	0.068
12	$(^3P)^2D_{5/2}$	29	$(^1S)^2P_{3/2}^o$	278	0.049	0.057
13	$(^1D)^2F_{7/2}$	24	$^4D_{5/2}^o$	247	0.003	0.056

Explanation of Tables

Table 1. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Tc IX and their lifetimes (τ , s).

Index	Level Index
Configuration	The configuration to which the level belongs
Level	The <i>LSJ</i> designation of the level
Energy	Present energies from the GRASP code with 3990 level calculations
τ (s)	Lifetime of the level in s

Table 2. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Ru X and their lifetimes (τ , s).

Index	Level Index
Configuration	The configuration to which the level belongs
Level	The <i>LSJ</i> designation of the level
Energy	Present energies from the GRASP code with 3990 level calculations
τ (s)	Lifetime of the level in s

Table 3. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Rh XI and their lifetimes (τ , s).

Index	Level Index
Configuration	The configuration to which the level belongs
Level	The <i>LSJ</i> designation of the level
Energy	Present energies from the GRASP code with 3990 level calculations
τ (s)	Lifetime of the level in s

Table 4. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Pd XII and their lifetimes (τ , s).

Index	Level Index
Configuration	The configuration to which the level belongs
Level	The <i>LSJ</i> designation of the level
Energy	Present energies from the GRASP code with 3990 level calculations
τ (s)	Lifetime of the level in s

Table 5. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Ag XIII and their lifetimes (τ , s).

Index	Level Index
Configuration	The configuration to which the level belongs
Level	The <i>LSJ</i> designation of the level
Energy	Present energies from the GRASP code with 3990 level calculations
τ (s)	Lifetime of the level in s

Table 6. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Cd XIV and their lifetimes (τ , s).

Index	Level Index
Configuration	The configuration to which the level belongs
Level	The <i>LSJ</i> designation of the level
Energy	Present energies from the GRASP code with 3990 level calculations
τ (s)	Lifetime of the level in s

Table 7. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of In XV and their lifetimes (τ , s).

Index	Level Index
Configuration	The configuration to which the level belongs
Level	The <i>LSJ</i> designation of the level
Energy	Present energies from the GRASP code with 3990 level calculations
τ (s)	Lifetime of the level in s

Table 8. Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Sn XVI and their lifetimes (τ , s).

Index	Level Index
Configuration	The configuration to which the level belongs
Level	The <i>LSJ</i> designation of the level
Energy	Present energies from the GRASP code with 3990 level calculations
τ (s)	Lifetime of the level in s

Table 9. Transition wavelengths (λ_{ij} in Å), radiative rates (A_{ji} in s^{-1}), oscillator strengths (f_{ij} , dimensionless), and line strengths (S, in atomic units) for electric dipole (E1), and A_{ji} for electric quadrupole (E2), magnetic dipole (M1), and magnetic quadrupole (M2) transitions of Tc IX. The ratio R(E1) of velocity and length forms of A- values for E1 transitions is listed in the last column.

i and j	The lower (i) and upper (j) levels of a transition as defined in Table 1.
λ_{ij}	Transition wavelength (in Å)
A_{ji}^{E1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E1 transitions
f_{ij}^{E1}	Absorption oscillator strength (dimensionless) for the E1 transitions
S^{E1}	Line strength in atomic unit (a.u.), 1 a.u. = 6.460×10^{-36} cm ² esu ² for the E1 transitions
A_{ji}^{E2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E2 transitions
A_{ji}^{M1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M1 transitions
A_{ji}^{M2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M2 transitions
R(E1)	Ratio of velocity and length forms of A- (or f- and S-) values for the E1 transitions
$a \pm b$	$\equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$

Table 10. Transition wavelengths (λ_{ij} in Å), radiative rates (A_{ji} in s^{-1}), oscillator strengths (f_{ij} , dimensionless), and line strengths (S, in atomic units) for electric dipole (E1), and A_{ji} for electric quadrupole (E2), magnetic dipole (M1), and magnetic quadrupole (M2) transitions of Ru X. The ratio R(E1) of velocity and length forms of A- values for E1 transitions is listed in the last column.

i and j	The lower (i) and upper (j) levels of a transition as defined in Table 2.
λ_{ij}	Transition wavelength (in Å)
A_{ji}^{E1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E1 transitions
f_{ij}^{E1}	Absorption oscillator strength (dimensionless) for the E1 transitions
S^{E1}	Line strength in atomic unit (a.u.), 1 a.u. = 6.460×10^{-36} cm ² esu ² for the E1 transitions
A_{ji}^{E2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E2 transitions
A_{ji}^{M1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M1 transitions
A_{ji}^{M2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M2 transitions
R(E1)	Ratio of velocity and length forms of A- (or f- and S-) values for the E1 transitions
$a \pm b$	$\equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$

Table 11. Transition wavelengths (λ_{ij} in Å), radiative rates (A_{ji} in s^{-1}), oscillator strengths (f_{ij} , dimensionless), and line strengths (S , in atomic units) for electric dipole (E1), and A_{ji} for electric quadrupole (E2), magnetic dipole (M1), and magnetic quadrupole (M2) transitions of Rh XI. The ratio $R(E1)$ of velocity and length forms of A- values for E1 transitions is listed in the last column.

i and j	The lower (i) and upper (j) levels of a transition as defined in Table 3.
λ_{ij}	Transition wavelength (in Å)
A_{ji}^{E1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E1 transitions
f_{ij}^{E1}	Absorption oscillator strength (dimensionless) for the E1 transitions
S^{E1}	Line strength in atomic unit (a.u.), 1 a.u. = 6.460×10^{-36} cm ² esu ² for the E1 transitions
A_{ji}^{E2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E2 transitions
A_{ji}^{M1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M1 transitions
A_{ji}^{M2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M2 transitions
$R(E1)$	Ratio of velocity and length forms of A- (or f- and S-) values for the E1 transitions
$a \pm b$	$\equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$

Table 12. Transition wavelengths (λ_{ij} in Å), radiative rates (A_{ji} in s^{-1}), oscillator strengths (f_{ij} , dimensionless), and line strengths (S , in atomic units) for electric dipole (E1), and A_{ji} for electric quadrupole (E2), magnetic dipole (M1), and magnetic quadrupole (M2) transitions of Pd XII. The ratio $R(E1)$ of velocity and length forms of A- values for E1 transitions is listed in the last column.

i and j	The lower (i) and upper (j) levels of a transition as defined in Table 4.
λ_{ij}	Transition wavelength (in Å)
A_{ji}^{E1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E1 transitions
f_{ij}^{E1}	Absorption oscillator strength (dimensionless) for the E1 transitions
S^{E1}	Line strength in atomic unit (a.u.), 1 a.u. = 6.460×10^{-36} cm ² esu ² for the E1 transitions
A_{ji}^{E2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E2 transitions
A_{ji}^{M1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M1 transitions
A_{ji}^{M2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M2 transitions
$R(E1)$	Ratio of velocity and length forms of A- (or f- and S-) values for the E1 transitions
$a \pm b$	$\equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$

Table 13. Transition wavelengths (λ_{ij} in Å), radiative rates (A_{ji} in s^{-1}), oscillator strengths (f_{ij} , dimensionless), and line strengths (S , in atomic units) for electric dipole (E1), and A_{ji} for electric quadrupole (E2), magnetic dipole (M1), and magnetic quadrupole (M2) transitions of Ag XIII. The ratio $R(E1)$ of velocity and length forms of A- values for E1 transitions is listed in the last column.

i and j	The lower (i) and upper (j) levels of a transition as defined in Table 5.
λ_{ij}	Transition wavelength (in Å)
A_{ji}^{E1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E1 transitions
f_{ij}^{E1}	Absorption oscillator strength (dimensionless) for the E1 transitions
S^{E1}	Line strength in atomic unit (a.u.), 1 a.u. = 6.460×10^{-36} cm ² esu ² for the E1 transitions
A_{ji}^{E2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E2 transitions
A_{ji}^{M1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M1 transitions
A_{ji}^{M2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M2 transitions
$R(E1)$	Ratio of velocity and length forms of A- (or f- and S-) values for the E1 transitions
$a \pm b$	$\equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$

Table 14. Transition wavelengths (λ_{ij} in Å), radiative rates (A_{ji} in s^{-1}), oscillator strengths (f_{ij} , dimensionless), and line strengths (S , in atomic units) for electric dipole (E1), and A_{ji} for electric quadrupole (E2), magnetic dipole (M1), and magnetic quadrupole (M2) transitions of Cd XIV. The ratio $R(E1)$ of velocity and length forms of A- values for E1 transitions is listed in the last column.

i and j	The lower (i) and upper (j) levels of a transition as defined in Table 6.
λ_{ij}	Transition wavelength (in Å)
A_{ji}^{E1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E1 transitions
f_{ij}^{E1}	Absorption oscillator strength (dimensionless) for the E1 transitions
S^{E1}	Line strength in atomic unit (a.u.), 1 a.u. = 6.460×10^{-36} cm ² esu ² for the E1 transitions
A_{ji}^{E2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E2 transitions
A_{ji}^{M1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M1 transitions
A_{ji}^{M2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M2 transitions
$R(E1)$	Ratio of velocity and length forms of A- (or f- and S-) values for the E1 transitions
$a \pm b$	$\equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$

Table 15. Transition wavelengths (λ_{ij} in Å), radiative rates (A_{ji} in s^{-1}), oscillator strengths (f_{ij} , dimensionless), and line strengths (S , in atomic units) for electric dipole (E1), and A_{ji} for electric quadrupole (E2), magnetic dipole (M1), and magnetic quadrupole (M2) transitions of In XV. The ratio $R(E1)$ of velocity and length forms of A- values for E1 transitions is listed in the last column.

i and j	The lower (i) and upper (j) levels of a transition as defined in Table 7.
λ_{ij}	Transition wavelength (in Å)
A_{ji}^{E1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E1 transitions
f_{ij}^{E1}	Absorption oscillator strength (dimensionless) for the E1 transitions
S^{E1}	Line strength in atomic unit (a.u.), 1 a.u. = 6.460×10^{-36} cm ² esu ² for the E1 transitions
A_{ji}^{E2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E2 transitions
A_{ji}^{M1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M1 transitions
A_{ji}^{M2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M2 transitions
$R(E1)$	Ratio of velocity and length forms of A- (or f- and S-) values for the E1 transitions
$a \pm b$	$\equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$

Table 16. Transition wavelengths (λ_{ij} in Å), radiative rates (A_{ji} in s^{-1}), oscillator strengths (f_{ij} , dimensionless), and line strengths (S , in atomic units) for electric dipole (E1), and A_{ji} for electric quadrupole (E2), magnetic dipole (M1), and magnetic quadrupole (M2) transitions of Sn XVI. The ratio $R(E1)$ of velocity and length forms of A- values for E1 transitions is listed in the last column.

i and j	The lower (i) and upper (j) levels of a transition as defined in Table 8.
λ_{ij}	Transition wavelength (in Å)
A_{ji}^{E1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E1 transitions
f_{ij}^{E1}	Absorption oscillator strength (dimensionless) for the E1 transitions
S^{E1}	Line strength in atomic unit (a.u.), 1 a.u. = 6.460×10^{-36} cm ² esu ² for the E1 transitions
A_{ji}^{E2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the E2 transitions
A_{ji}^{M1}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M1 transitions
A_{ji}^{M2}	Radiative transition probability (in s^{-1}) for the M2 transitions
$R(E1)$	Ratio of velocity and length forms of A- (or f- and S-) values for the E1 transitions
$a \pm b$	$\equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$

Table 1Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Tc IX and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables

Index	Configuration	Level	Energy	τ (s)
1	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{3/2}^o$	0.00000	
2	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{1/2}^o$	0.24920	2.719-03
3	$4s 4p^6$	$2S_{1/2}$	2.47330	2.497-10
4	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{5/2}$	3.16023	2.333-08
5	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{7/2}$	3.17167	1.248-02
6	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{3/2}$	3.17509	2.214-08
7	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{1/2}$	3.20934	1.375-08
8	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{9/2}$	3.31129	1.202-01
9	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{7/2}$	3.37669	2.869-02
10	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2P_{1/2}$	3.42622	5.773-09
.....				
.....				

Table 2Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Ru X and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables

Index	Configuration	Level	Energy	τ (s)
1	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{3/2}^o$	0.00000	
2	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{1/2}^o$	0.29593	1.623-03
3	$4s 4p^6$	$2S_{1/2}$	2.68709	1.984-10
4	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{5/2}$	3.43132	1.934-08
5	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{3/2}$	3.44654	1.550-08
6	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{7/2}$	3.44741	9.295-03
7	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{1/2}$	3.48712	8.664-09
8	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{9/2}$	3.59149	9.447-02
9	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{7/2}$	3.66026	2.152-02
10	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{3/2}$	3.72684	2.333-09
.....				
.....				

Table 3Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Rh XI and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables

Index	Configuration	Level	Energy	τ (s)
1	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{3/2}^o$	0.00000	
2	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{1/2}^o$	0.34817	$9.967-04$
3	$4s 4p^6$	$2S_{1/2}$	2.90522	$1.619-10$
4	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{5/2}$	3.70088	$1.647-08$
5	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{3/2}$	3.71591	$1.132-08$
6	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{7/2}$	3.72237	$7.131-03$
7	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{1/2}$	3.76303	$5.769-09$
8	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{9/2}$	3.87054	$7.600-02$
9	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{7/2}$	3.94111	$1.650-02$
10	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{3/2}$	4.01354	$1.686-09$
.....				
.....				

Table 4Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Pd XII and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables

Index	Configuration	Level	Energy	τ (s)
1	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{3/2}^o$	0.00000	
2	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{1/2}^o$	0.40633	$6.269-04$
3	$4s 4p^6$	$2S_{1/2}$	3.12830	$1.350-10$
4	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{5/2}$	3.96918	$1.441-08$
5	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{3/2}$	3.98343	$8.570-09$
6	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{7/2}$	3.99685	$5.613-03$
7	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{1/2}$	4.03720	$4.012-09$
8	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{9/2}$	4.14889	$6.218-02$
9	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2F_{7/2}$	4.21970	$1.281-02$
10	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{3/2}$	4.29833	$1.321-09$
.....				
.....				

Table 5Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Ag XIII and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables

Index	Configuration	Level	Energy	τ (s)
1	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{3/2}^o$	0.00000	
2	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{1/2}^o$	0.47082	4.029-04
3	$4s 4p^6$	$2S_{1/2}$	3.35690	1.146-10
4	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{5/2}$	4.23644	1.294-08
5	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{3/2}$	4.24927	6.686-09
6	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{7/2}$	4.27108	4.518-03
7	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{1/2}$	4.30979	2.885-09
8	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{9/2}$	4.42694	5.144-02
9	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2F_{7/2}$	4.49649	9.992-03
10	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2P_{3/2}$	4.58162	1.096-09
.....				
.....				

Table 6Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Cd XIV and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 13 for Explanation of Tables

Index	Configuration	Level	Energy	τ (s)
1	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{3/2}^o$	0.00000	
2	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{1/2}^o$	0.54211	2.639-04
3	$4s 4p^6$	$2S_{1/2}$	3.59158	9.870-11
4	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{5/2}$	4.50283	1.194-08
5	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{3/2}$	4.51361	5.352-09
6	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{7/2}$	4.54523	3.711-03
7	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{1/2}$	4.58098	2.128-09
8	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{9/2}$	4.70503	4.280-02
9	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2F_{7/2}$	4.77190	7.798-03
10	$4s^2 4p^4(^1S)4d$	$2D_{3/2}$	4.86371	9.504-10
.....				
.....				

Table 7Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of In XV and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 14 for Explanation of Tables

Index	Configuration	Level	Energy	τ (s)
1	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{3/2}^o$	0.00000	
2	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{1/2}^o$	0.62063	1.758-04
3	$4s 4p^6$	$2S_{1/2}$	3.83286	8.617-11
4	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{5/2}$	4.76852	1.132-08
5	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{3/2}$	4.77661	4.376-09
6	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{7/2}$	4.81945	3.107-03
7	$4s^2 4p^4(^1D)4d$	$2P_{1/2}$	4.85100	1.600-09
8	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{9/2}$	4.98351	3.564-02
9	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2F_{7/2}$	5.04632	6.074-03
10	$4s^2 4p^4(^1S)4d$	$2D_{3/2}$	5.14484	8.535-10
.....				
.....				

Table 8Energies (Ryd) for the lowest 375 levels of Sn XVI and their lifetimes (τ , s). $a \pm b \equiv a \times 10^{\pm b}$. See page 14 for Explanation of Tables

Index	Configuration	Level	Energy	τ (s)
1	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{3/2}^o$	0.00000	
2	$4s^2 4p^5$	$2P_{1/2}^o$	0.70689	1.190-04
3	$4s 4p^6$	$2S_{1/2}$	4.08124	7.612-11
4	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{5/2}$	5.03362	1.104-08
5	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{3/2}$	5.03844	3.644-09
6	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{7/2}$	5.09383	2.648-03
7	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4D_{1/2}$	5.12007	1.220-09
8	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$4F_{9/2}$	5.26266	2.957-02
9	$4s^2 4p^4(^3P)4d$	$2F_{7/2}$	5.32015	4.715-03
10	$4s^2 4p^4(^1S)4d$	$2D_{3/2}$	5.42518	7.894-10
.....				
.....				